Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/899,686	BACON, CHESTER A.
Examiner	Art Unit
Travis B Ribar	1711

Examiner	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Travis B Ribar	1711		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):			
(1) <u>Travis B Ribar</u> .	(3) <u>James Seidleck</u> .			
(2) Ms. Carolyn Fischer.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 08 April 2003.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Demonstrated the printability the composition of the applicant's invention	e) No. and stain resistance of retroref	lective sheeting	c <u>ontaining</u>	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>23-37</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Malik et al.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□	N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant brought in a copy of their recently submitted amendment. The current claims do not include the acetone solubility requirement found in the originally examined claims. The applicant will file a supplimental amendment to include further structural limitations.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that w	reed would render the	er the claims claims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview require	e last Office action has already FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPI SUBSTANCE O	LICANT IS	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an $\Delta t_{\rm th}$ bound to a second of the form of the second o

Fram ner's signature (required